

10/p/175

10/530447

JCO6 Rec'd PCT/PTO 05 APR 2003

**FULL-FIELD BREAST IMAGE DATA  
PROCESSING AND ARCHIVING**

Zengpin Yu  
Shih-Ping Wang

5

**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Ser. No. 10/305,936 filed  
10 November 27, 2002, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Ser. No. 10/160,836 filed  
May 31, 2002, which is a continuation-in-part of International Application Ser. No.  
PCT/US01/43237, filed November 19, 2001, which claims the benefit of United States  
Provisional Application No. 60/252,946 filed November 24, 2000, each of the above  
being incorporated by reference herein.

15

**FIELD**

This patent specification relates to full-field imaging of the breast. More  
particularly, this patent specification relates to systems, computer program products, and  
related methods for presenting, manipulating, annotating, and/or archiving full-field  
20 breast image information such as full-field breast ultrasound information.

**BACKGROUND**

The ongoing disconnect between the possible and the practical is especially  
visible in the medical sciences field where, at one end, sophisticated research and  
25 development efforts continue to advance the frontiers of disease prevention and lifespan  
extension while, at the other end, governments and insurers continue to struggle with  
providing a reasonable standard of care at a manageable cost to an aging population. The  
medical imaging field offers an example of this disconnect. Sophisticated imaging  
devices and associated computer algorithms have been developed that can produce  
30 gigabytes of high-quality images of a patient's interior anatomy. However, cost-  
pressured hospitals and time-pressured clinicians are justifiably resistant to adopting a  
standard of care for disease screening that would add yet another modality to clinical  
workflow and hold clinicians accountable for large amounts of additional image data per

patient. In the practical world of medical imaging, it is possible for a proposed screening modality to fail simply because it provides "too much information" to the clinician.

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women other than skin cancer, and is the second leading cause of cancer death in women after lung cancer. For the year  
5 2003, the American Cancer Society estimates about 211,300 new invasive cases of breast cancer and 39,800 deaths from breast cancer among women in the United States. X-ray mammography is currently the only imaging method for mass breast cancer screening. In health maintenance organizations (HMOs) and other medical organizations, specialized x-ray mammography clinics designed for high patient throughput are being increasingly  
10 used to screen as many women as possible in a time and cost efficient manner. Numerous studies have shown that early detection saves lives and increases treatment options.

As discussed in Ser. No. 10/160,836, *supra*, it has been found that the use of ultrasound mammography (sonomammography) in conjunction with conventional x-ray  
15 mammography can drastically increase the early breast cancer detection rate. Whereas x-ray mammograms only detect a summation of the x-ray opacity of individual slices over the entire breast, ultrasound can separately detect the sonographic properties of individual slices of breast tissue, and therefore may assist the radiologist in detecting breast lesions where x-ray mammography alone fails.

20 Although primarily described *infra* in the context of ultrasound imaging, it is to be appreciated that data from other full-field breast imaging modalities (*e.g.*, MRI, CT, PET) can be advantageously processed and/or archived according to one or more of the preferred embodiments described herein. As used herein, the term "radiologist" generically refers to a medical professional, clinician, or similar person that analyzes  
25 medical images and makes clinical determinations therefrom, it being understood that such person might be titled differently, or might have varying qualifications, depending on the country or locality of their particular medical environment, or depending on the particular imaging modality being used.

One of the problems involved in integrating a full-field modality such as  
30 ultrasound into existing breast cancer screening environments relates generally to clinical workflow. Generally speaking, it is neither time-efficient nor cost-efficient to perform an

adjunctive full-field breast ultrasound (FFBU) scan on every patient. However, it is likewise not efficient to perform FFBU screening on an *ad hoc* basis, for example, in which patients would get called back to the screening clinic for an FFBU only when the radiologist or other clinician analyzing their x-ray mammogram determines that an FFBU  
5 procedure is required. It would be desirable to provide a method and related systems for streamlining the x-ray mammography/FFBU patient visit workflow so as to jointly use the x-ray mammography equipment, the FFBU equipment, and the associated clinical staff time in an efficient manner.

Another problem involved in integrating a full-field breast imaging modality into  
10 existing breast cancer screening environments relates generally to archiving the full-field image data, such as full-field breast ultrasound data, in addition to the x-ray mammogram data. A most complete archive would comprise an entire three-dimensional volume of ultrasound data. However, this three-dimensional ultrasound dataset is generally much more voluminous than the traditional x-ray mammogram data, *e.g.*, on the order of  
15 gigabytes for the complete ultrasound volume as compared to tens of megabytes for complete x-ray mammogram data. Regardless of whether the information would be stored in a totally digital archive, a hybrid digital/hardcopy archive, or a purely hardcopy archive, storage space would become a problem. Additionally, other problems can arise relating to the storage of the entire ultrasound data volume, such as the possibility for  
20 subsequent malpractice claims involving unfair hindsight analyses of the entire ultrasound data volume.

In view of the above discussions, it would be desirable to provide methods and associated systems for obtaining, processing, and/or archiving full-field breast image data, such as full-field breast ultrasound (FFBU) data, in a manner that promotes ready  
25 integration with current x-ray mammogram-based breast cancer screening methodologies.

It would be desirable to provide such methods and associated systems for full-field breast imaging that avoids or reduces clinician data overload problems that can arise from the existence of large amounts of three-dimensional full-field breast image data.

It would be still further desirable to provide such methods and associated systems  
30 that reduce required archive space for full-field breast image data while still providing sufficient data for future analysis or comparison purposes.

## SUMMARY

A system, computer program product, and related methods are provided for processing a three-dimensional data volume representing at least one physical property of  
5 a breast obtained during a breast imaging session, wherein two-dimensional thick-slice images computed from the three-dimensional data volume are used to facilitate efficient archiving of the at least one physical property for that breast imaging session, the two-dimensional thick-slice images corresponding to slab-like subvolumes of the breast. According to a preferred embodiment, the two-dimensional thick-slice images are  
10 archived such that archiving of the entire three-dimensional data volume is not required, thereby preserving data storage space and associated resources while still providing an archival dataset sufficient for future reference purposes. Although described herein in the context of full-field breast ultrasound (FFBU) imaging, it is to be appreciated that the features and advantages of the preferred embodiments are applicable for a variety of  
15 other full-field breast imaging modalities such as MRI, CT, PET, etc.

According to one preferred embodiment, the slab-like subvolumes associated with the thick-slice images have an average thickness corresponding to a lesion size to be detected according to the FFBU imaging modality. Preferably, the slab-like subvolumes collectively occupy substantially all of a clinically relevant portion of the breast volume,  
20 and the archival dataset comprises each of the thick-slice images, but does not include the original three-dimensional data volume. Storage space is preserved because the collection of thick-slice images is a smaller set of data than the original three-dimensional data volume, and archival utility is maintained because the slab thickness is small enough to capture the lesion size to be detected.

25 Preferably, the thick-slice images maintained in the archival dataset are the same thick-slice images that are viewed by a viewer, such as a radiologist, during a viewing session. User interface tools are provided to allow the viewer to annotate the thick-slice images, view planar and/or "raw" ultrasound slices corresponding to selected locations on the thick-slice images, conveniently zoom to regions of interest, and allow other  
30 convenient and useful analysis activities. Optionally, planar ultrasound slices corresponding to selected locations of interest may be included in the thick-slice archival

dataset. In one preferred embodiment, the radiologist may select a particular point on a thick-slice image, such as the center of a possible density, to instantiate a real-time segmentation and volume computation, the display unit thereafter highlighting the segmented lesion on the display and presenting the volume result to the viewer. In  
5 another preferred embodiment, the radiologist may view and provide annotations related to markers automatically generated by a computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) system that has processed the three-dimensional data volume, the thick-slice images, and/or the associated x-ray mammogram data. Annotations, marks, and any of a variety of other viewer inputs are then archived in a manner that associates them with the thick-slice  
10 image archival dataset.

According to another preferred embodiment, a system, computer program product, and related methods are provided for facilitating workflow in an x-ray mammography screening environment such that integration of an adjunctive full-field breast imaging modality, such as FFBU, can be achieved with reduced marginal cost and,  
15 in some cases, can even lower overall screening costs. Prior to a patient's visit to an x-ray mammogram clinic, previously recorded medically-relevant information for that patient is accessed for determining whether that patient should be scheduled for x-ray mammogram alone versus the combination of x-ray mammogram and FFBU scan. If the combination x-ray mammogram and FFBU scan is indicated, a scheduler generates an  
20 appointment and allocates clinic resources such that the x-ray mammogram and the FFBU scan take place on the same patient visit. The previously recorded medically-relevant information may include archived x-ray image data, archived FFBU image data, patient history, family history, and social/demographic information.

## 25 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 illustrates a conceptual view of a breast cancer screening system according to a preferred embodiment;

FIG. 2 illustrates a conceptual view of a breast cancer screening system according to a preferred embodiment;

30 FIG. 3 illustrates a conceptual workflow in a breast cancer screening environment according to a preferred embodiment;

FIG. 4 illustrates workflow steps in an adjunctive full-field breast imaging environment according to a preferred embodiment;

FIG. 5 illustrates a method for viewing and processing breast image data according to a preferred embodiment;

FIGS. 6-9 each illustrate a full-field breast ultrasound (FFBU) archival dataset according to a preferred embodiment; and

FIG. 10 illustrates a display of an FFBV viewing workstation according to a preferred embodiment.

## 10 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 illustrates a conceptual view of a breast cancer screening system according to a preferred embodiment comprising a full-field breast ultrasound (FFBU) scanner 102, a viewing workstation 104, and an archive 106. In one preferred embodiment, the breast cancer screening system of FIG. 1 is adjunctive to an x-ray mammography system, although in an alternative preferred embodiment, FFBU imaging is used as a sole basis for breast cancer screening. While the latter preferred embodiment affords an advantage that there are no x-ray radiation dangers, it is to be appreciated that using ultrasound as a sole breast cancer screening modality is not yet approved by the FDA in the United States or other known governmental authority. Nevertheless, another appealing feature of the latter preferred embodiment is that, because FFBU scanning can usually involve less extreme breast compression than x-ray mammography, *e.g.*, 8 pounds as compared to 20 pounds or more, it affords a further potential advantage that more women might be willing to get screened more often because there is less pain involved in the procedure.

The FFBU scanner 102 is similar to that described in PCT/US03/31434 filed October 1, 2003, which is incorporated by reference herein, and performs full-field imaging of the breast to obtain a three-dimensional data volume corresponding to sonographic properties of the breast tissue. The patient's breast is placed in a compression and scanning assembly 108 that, in conjunction with an FFBU processor 110, scans the breast such that a three-dimensional data volume is generated. Preferably, the breast is compressed along a standard x-ray mammogram plane such as the craniocaudal (CC) or mediolateral oblique (MLO) plane, or other plane used in the

associated x-ray mammograms preferably being taken on the same office visit. However, the scope of the preferred embodiments is not so limited to these x-ray compression planes.

The FFBU processor 110 and/or another computer coupled to receive data  
5 therefrom then processes the three-dimensional data volume to generate a plurality of two-dimensional thick-slice images corresponding to slab-like subvolumes of the breast. Preferably, each of the slab-like subvolumes is parallel to a standard x-ray mammogram plane, although the scope of the preferred embodiments is not so limited. For clarity of description herein, the y-axis represents the head-to-toe direction with respect to the  
10 patient, the x-axis represents the left-to-right direction, and the z-axis extends outward from the chest wall. The x-y, y-z, and x-z planes thus correspond to the coronal, sagittal, and axial planes, respectively. Thus, by way of example, thick-slice images corresponding to a CC view, for which the breast was scanned while compressed along an x-z (axial) plane, would correspond to slab-like subvolumes substantially parallel to  
15 the x-z (axial plane), the slab-like volumes extending vertically in the "y" direction by an amount that can be referred to as their slab thickness or thick-slice thickness. Preferably, the thick-slice images are computed according to one or more of the methods described in one or more of the above incorporated applications, and/or the commonly assigned U.S. Ser. No. 60/439,437, filed January 9, 2003, and/or the commonly assigned U.S. Ser. No.  
20 10/305,661, filed November 27, 2002, each of which is incorporated by reference herein.

Preferably, the collection of slab-like volumes, each having a corresponding two-dimensional thick-slice image, collectively occupy substantially all of a clinically relevant portion of the breast volume. In such arrangement, displaying all of the thick-slice images to the viewer can allow for a fast appreciation of structures in the breast, or  
25 lack thereof, while at the same time not requiring the viewer to slog through each slice used to generate the three-dimensional data volume. The clinically relevant portion of the breast volume refers to the portion of the breast volume imaged by the full-field imaging system that is generally recognized to be locations where breast cancer can occur. Thus, for example, areas very close to the skinline might be considered as not  
30 clinically relevant. As another example, the interior portions of silicone breast implants may also be considered as not clinically relevant because there is no living tissue present.

The viewing workstation 104, which is similar to that described in Ser. No. 10/305,936, *supra*, provides an interactive display and associated user interface for viewing, analyzing, and annotating FFBU data in conjunction with x-ray mammography data. In an FFBU-only environment, the portions dedicated to displaying x-ray

- 5 mammogram data can be omitted or replaced by further display devices allowing further simultaneous views of the FFBU data. Viewing workstation 104 comprises an x-ray mammogram display 112 for allowing display of x-ray mammograms 114. Although shown as a film-based unit in FIG. 1, the x-ray mammogram display 112 can alternatively comprise an all-digital workstation in a digital mammography environment.
- 10 The viewing workstation 104 can be conformed to various standards for picture archiving and retrieval (PACS) such as those described in the DICOM standard (Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine) defined and maintained by the National Electrical Manufacturers Association.

- Viewing workstation 104 further comprises a full-sized LCD display 116 and two
- 15 CRT displays 118 for viewing the FFBU thick-slice data in conjunction, if necessary, with planar ultrasound slices derived from the FFBU scans. As described in Ser. No. 10/305,936, *supra*, although many different display arrangements may be used, one preferred method is to use CRT displays to display planar ultrasound data and the LCD displays to display the thick-slice images. Computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) markers
- 20 may be provided in conjunction with the FFBU thick-slice data and/or the x-ray mammogram data.

- Viewing workstation 104 further comprises a user interface processor 120 for receiving viewer inputs and driving the displays 116-118. Any of a variety of user interface devices (not shown) can be provided in accordance with the preferred
- 25 embodiments, ranging anywhere from simple a keyboard/mouse arrangement, to foot-pedal and touch-screen display arrangements, all the way to highly exotic user interface methods based on voice-actuated inputs, retinal tracking inputs, heads-up displays, lenticular displays, virtual reality displays, holographic displays, stereotactic displays, forced-mechanical-feedback displays, and audible-annotation displays.

- 30 Viewing workstation 104 further comprises an archiving processor 122 in communication with the FFBU scanner 102 and/or the user interface processor 120



configured and adapted for achieving the archiving functionalities described herein.

Although the particular hardware arrangement of FIG. 1 represents one preferred system, it is to be appreciated that the functionalities of the FFBU processor 110, user interface processor 120, and archive processor 122 can be allocated, separated, integrated, and/or

5 tiered in a variety of different ways that achieve the functionalities described herein without departing from the scope of the preferred embodiments. By way of example, a single stand-alone processor separate from the FFBU scanner 102 and viewing station 104 (connected to them across a LAN, MAN, or WAN network, for example) can be substituted for the separate hardware elements 110, 120, and 122. By way of further  
10 example, the display processor 120 can be programmed to perform thick-slice generation, user interface functions, CAD functions, and archiving functions by itself, and so on.

Archive 106 is coupled generally to viewing workstation 104, and particularly to archive processor 122, for receiving and storing an archival dataset for long-term storage purposes or for other future reference. It is to be appreciated that the archive 106,

15 although shown iconically as a computer hard disk, can comprise any tangible storage medium capable of storing two-dimensional image data. Examples include paper, film, magnetic disk, optical disk, magnetic tape, and non-volatile integrated circuit memory. Thus, for example, the archive processor 122 can be coupled to a DICOM-compliant printer, for example, which prints the archival dataset onto paper or film, and then the  
20 paper or film is carried over to a physical drawer or stack for long-term storage.

One special advantage according to a preferred embodiment is backward-compatibility way with today's existing two-dimensionally-based infrastructure, because the preferred FFBU archive datasets are two-dimensional in nature, intended to be shown in a two-dimensional manner, and generally not intended for three-dimensional

25 reconstruction. Thus, for example, in a film-based x-ray mammogram environment in which the films are stored in physical drawers and stacks, the two-dimensional FFBU archive data can be easily transferred to one or two sheets of film or paper and slipped into the same file as the x-ray mammogram film. In other preferred embodiments there may be more than two sheets of film or paper, *e.g.*, 3-10 sheets, but generally there will  
30 not be so many sheets as to be cumbersome. This is to be contrasted with the mass

archiving of the entire three-dimensional data volume which, if printed out to paper or film, would require hundreds of sheets or more.

It is to be appreciated, however, that the archive 106 is not limited to storing single flat-file records containing printouts or digital/digitized versions of the drawings  
5 described *infra*. For example, the preferred embodiments are readily implemented in a totally paperless and filmless environment as well, and in such cases the archive 106 will usually comprise a distributed database architecture consistent with most modern PACS systems. The various distributed elements composing the archive 106 can segregate, aggregate, index, and allocate the archival datasets described herein without departing  
10 from the scope of the preferred embodiments. For example, the different components (text comments, 2-D images, and annotations) can all be digitally stored in different places, and even on different machines or networks, and then associated with hyperlinks. In one preferred embodiment, the archive 106 is implemented in a DICOM-compliant data communications and storage architecture.

15 As indicated by the arrows in FIG. 1, the FFBU scanner 102 forwards the FFBU data to the viewing workstation 104 and, upon review, assessment, annotation, and the creation of an archival dataset, the archival dataset is transferred to the archive 106. During a subsequent screening process – for example, during next year’s review process for the patient – the archival dataset can be retrieved from the archive 106 for  
20 comparison, if necessary, to the new FFBU and/or x-ray data. This is useful, for example, for ensuring that a fibroadenoma identified in year “N” does not get any larger in year “N+1.”

It is to be appreciated that some data collected from outside the viewing station 104 can be included in the archival dataset without departing from the scope of the  
25 preferred embodiments. By way of example, radiologists often prefer speaking into a voice recorder and making an audio tape of their comments and assessments, which are later dictated into ASCII text. This text data can be readily included into the archival dataset without departing from the scope of the preferred embodiments.

FIG. 2 illustrates a conceptual view of a breast cancer screening system according  
30 to a preferred embodiment in which FFBU data is used in conjunction with x-ray mammogram screening. In this preferred embodiment, x-ray mammogram data derived

from an x-ray mammogram unit 202 and adjunctive FFBU derived from FFBU scanner 102 are provided to a CAD processor 204 that automatically identifies anatomical abnormalities in the breast tissue. Annotation road maps and/or other abnormality identifying information is provided to the viewing workstation 104. An archival dataset  
5 comprising the x-ray mammogram data, the FFBU thick-slice data, the CAD annotations, and the viewer annotations is transferred to an overall archive 206 including an archive 106 for storing the FFBU thick-slice data and annotations related thereto, and an archive 208 for storing the x-ray mammogram data and annotations related thereto. As indicated by the arrows in FIG. 2, both the CAD processor 204 and the viewer can advantageously  
10 use the archived data for abnormality detection and assessment purposes.

According to one preferred embodiment, viewing workstation 104 including archive processor 122 is configured to prevent the viewer from removing any automatically-obtained CAD markers from the FFBU dataset or the x-ray mammogram dataset. For quality control purposes, this will urge the viewer to enter comments for  
15 every automatically-obtained CAD marker, even clearly-false positives, instead of simply erasing or deleting that marker. For example, this is expected to be useful because the FFBU data, including the thick-slice images, can help the viewer rapidly identify obviously-false positives in the x-ray CAD system outputs. Since these markers are clearly without merit it would be tempting to simply erase or delete them. However, for  
20 overall quality-control purposes and for other practical reasons, may be better that all CAD markers, even the obviously false positives, are retained.

FIG. 3 illustrates a conceptual view of a workflow system in a breast cancer screening environment according to a preferred embodiment. A schedule processor 302 and a medical information database 304 are used in conjunction with the system of FIG. 2  
25 (reproduced without the CAD processor for space purposes in FIG. 3) for reducing marginal cost that may be incurred in an x-ray mammogram screening clinic when augmented with FFBU capability and, in some cases, even lowering overall screening costs.

It has been found that FFBU screening according to the present and commonly  
30 assigned incorporated applications is highly amenable to standardization and the development of predetermined FFBU qualifying requirements and reimbursement criteria

by governments, insurers, HMOs, and the like. According to a preferred embodiment, the medical information database 304 is populated with predetermined FFBU qualifying criteria as well as corresponding, previously-obtained medical information for a patient. A patient not meeting the predetermined FFBU qualifying criteria, as determined by  
5 schedule processor 302, is not scheduled for an FFBU scan as part of a scheduled x-ray mammogram visit, whereas a patient meeting the FFBU qualifying criteria is scheduled for an FFBU scan on the same clinic visit at which their screening x-ray mammogram is taken, with equipment and personnel schedules being set accordingly. The dotted arrows in FIG. 3 are presented to illustrate that not every patient gets scheduled for an FFBU  
10 scan. Preferably, the schedule processor 302 and medical information database 304 comprise portions of a larger hospital information system or radiology information system (HIS/RIS) that automatically perform comparison of the patient data to the predetermined FFBU qualifying criteria. However, in other preferred embodiments the comparison and/or the scheduling can be manual. According to another preferred  
15 embodiment, if the patient data meets a predetermined set of higher criteria, termed interest-heightening criteria, the patient is scheduled for a more extensive FFBU scan involving additional compression planes during that same FFBU scanning session.

Because high breast density makes x-ray mammogram screening less effective as a sole modality, the predetermined FFBU qualifying criteria should at least include a  
20 previously determined breast density metric for each patient, wherein women having “dense” or “extremely dense” breasts are automatically scheduled for an FFBU scan. Age, patient history data, and a variety of other information may be included such as family medical history, geographic location, demographic information, social information, financial information, and any other factor found to be related to x-ray  
25 mammography efficacy, an increase likelihood of breast cancer, and/or the ability for the healthcare institution to get paid and/or reimbursed for the additional procedure.

For clarity of presentation, and by way of a simplified and non-limiting example, the predetermined criteria may be implemented as follows. Any woman having “dense” or “extremely dense” breasts automatically meets the FFBU qualifying criteria. Any  
30 woman living in Marin County, California (statistically known to have an abnormally high breast cancer rate), automatically meets the FFBU qualifying criteria, regardless of

breast density. Any woman having a prior lumpectomy meets not only the FFBU qualifying criteria but also the interest-heightening criteria and therefore receives a more extensive FFBU scanning session along additional FFBU compression planes. In private clinic settings, any woman having a high financial credit rating and who is a cash-paying  
5 customer, not dependent on Medicare or group health insurance, automatically meets the FFBU qualifying criteria, and so on.

FIG. 4 illustrates workflow steps in an adjunctive full-field breast imaging environment according to a preferred embodiment, comprising a step 402 for retrieving previously recorded medical information for a patient and a step 404 for comparing that  
10 information to predetermined FFBU qualifying and interest-heightening criteria. At step 406 the patient arrives at the screening clinic. At step 408, x-ray mammograms are taken, usually according to the standard process of obtaining CC and MLO views for each breast. If the FFBU qualifying criteria are not met (step 410) then the patient is simply released (step 418), but if they are met, then during that same clinic visit FFBU scans are  
15 taken of each breast along a first compression plane, preferably a standard x-ray mammogram view plane such as the CC view. If the interest-heightening qualifying criteria are not met (step 414) then the patient is released after the first-compression-plane FFBU scans are obtained (step 418), but if they are met, then the patient is not released until additional FFBU scans are taken of each breast along a second compression  
20 plane (step 416), preferably a second standard x-ray mammogram view plane such as the MLO view.

In some cases, it might be deemed necessary to perform an FFBU procedure only after x-ray mammograms have been viewed, in which case the savings of pre-scheduling combined resources are not incurred. However, on the whole, it is expected that a  
25 workflow process according to one or more of the above preferred embodiments will reduce overall costs as compared an *ad hoc* FFBU scheduling process.

FIG. 5 illustrates a method for viewing and processing breast image data according to a preferred embodiment, presented by way of example and not by limitation. At step 502, x-ray mammogram images are analyzed and assessed independently of data  
30 from any other modality, to the extent possible in a sole x-ray mammography environment. This can include viewing x-ray CAD annotations. If at step 504 FFBU

scans were taken for this patient, then at step 506 the FFBU data including thick-slice image data is analyzed in conjunction with the x-ray mammogram data, and the assessment is modified as necessary. This can include viewing x-ray CAD annotations, thick-slice image CAD annotations, planar image CAD annotations, volumetric CAD  
5 annotations projected onto the thick-slice and/or planar images, and/or joint CAD annotations generated from joint processing of the x-ray and FFBU data projected onto the thick-slice, planar images, and/or x-ray images.

As is well-known in the art, the American College of Radiology has established a breast image assessment standard known as BI-RADS® (Breast Imaging Reporting and  
10 Data System) having five assessment categories: Category 1 - "negative"; Category 2 - "benign finding"; Category 3 - "probably benign finding – short interval follow-up suggested"; Category 4 - "suspicious abnormality-biopsy should be considered"; and Category 5 - "highly suggestive of malignancy – appropriate action should be taken". A sixth category termed Category 0 means that the assessment is incomplete (for any of a  
15 variety of reasons) and that further data is needed. Advantageously, at step 506 a suspicious lesion might be discovered even where the x-ray mammogram was evaluated to show no issues at all, for example, what was an ACR BI-RADS Category 1 assessment for x-ray alone could be drastically changed to a Category 4 after the FFBU data is reviewed.

20 If no FFBU data was acquired, then at step 512 it is determined whether there is a particular amount of suspiciousness falling short of a definite malignancy finding, and perhaps something that would warrant a biopsy procedure or other partially invasive test. This is termed "suspicious abnormality" in the example of FIG. 5, but the scope of the preferred embodiments is not so limited. If present, then an FFBU scan is obtained at  
25 step 514. Although this usually involves a patient call-back, it could end up obviating the need for a biopsy procedure or other partially invasive test. Another reason to have an FFBU performed is shown at step 516, if there is a Category 0 assessment that can arise, for example, if the breast was so dense that the x-ray mammogram could really communicate no information at all, or if there was some other problem obtaining an  
30 acceptable x-ray mammogram image. However, if it is determined at steps 512 and 516 that there were no problems with the x-ray mammogram image or its contents, then at

step 510 the x-ray mammogram data is archived and the breast cancer screening process is complete for that particular visit/session/year.

In one preferred embodiment, the original three-dimensional data volumes, which are not included in the archived dataset, are actively deleted using an affirmative deletion process. In another preferred embodiment, the original three-dimensional data volumes are passively deleted, that is, they are permitted to be maintained on the hard drive(s) associated with the user interface processor 120, where they were last used, until such time as there is no more space to keep them there. Thus, for example, the hard drive associated with the user interface processor 120 may have 1TB of net storage capacity available as a local cache for maintaining the three-dimensional data volumes for the radiologist viewing sessions. If three-dimensional data volumes have a size of 4GB for each case, then up to 250 cases can be temporarily stored in the 1TB cache. After initially filling up 250 cases of data, the cache subsequently overwrites the oldest cases with newly-received cases as they arrive. This arrangement is sometimes referred to as a circular buffer and represents a natural or passive deletion method limited by hardware cost considerations. In this particular example, if 40 patients per day are analyzed, then 25 days' worth of the three-dimensional data is retained. For a given case, after such 25-day period, the three-dimensional data is permanently lost.

In addition to preserving storage space, one foreseeable advantage may lie in the result that the radiologist would only be held legally accountable, in a medical malpractice sense, for only that amount of image data that they were truly able to perceive during the viewing session, *i.e.*, the thick-slice image data. It would arguably be very unfair to hold radiologists legally accountable for entire 4-GB datasets containing hundreds of separate planar images that they do not have the time to individually analyze. Thus, by archiving FFB data according to the archival datasets of the preferred embodiments and not archiving the entire three-dimensional data volumes, subsequent medical malpractice claims involving unfair hindsight analyses of the entire ultrasound data volumes are avoided, while at the same time a medically sufficient subset of those three-dimensional data volumes is archived to assist in future breast cancer screening for the patients.

FIG. 6 illustrates an example of an FFBU archival dataset 600 according to a preferred embodiment. Once again, it is to be appreciated that while the particular “flat-file” expression shown in FIG. 6 is indeed useful in a film-based or paper-based preferred embodiment, as well for generally communicating the features and advantages of the preferred embodiments in the present patent disclosure, the scope of the preferred embodiments extends to many other storage architectures. For example, the different component types such as text comments, 2-D images, annotations, and markers can all be digitally stored in different places, and even on different machines or networks, and associated with hyperlinks.

10        Archival dataset 600 comprises an array of two-dimensional thick-slice images 602, a first planar view 604, and a second planar view 606. The first planar view 604 corresponds, in this example, to a sagittal plane (parallel to the x-y plane) passing through a location of a finding in the breast that was identified, assessed, and annotated by the viewer during a viewing session. The location of the finding is identified by a finding  
15    marker 608, which can be assigned to different shapes, colors, etc. for different kinds of findings and/or assessments. The second planar view 606 corresponds to a coronal plane (parallel to the x-z plane) passing through that location in the breast. Range markers 610 and 612 that were automatically placed on the planar views according to the viewer-selected location on the viewer-selected thick-slice image are also archived as shown. An  
20    additional free annotation 632 that was entered by the viewer onto the display using the user interface of the viewing workstation 104 is also archived as shown.

      Archival dataset 600 further comprises a text section 614 comprising several components, some automatically generated by the viewing workstation 104 and others being manually entered (via the user interface, dictated, etc.). Text section 614 comprises  
25    header information 616 for identifying the patient, clinic, date, and the like, and further including an “FFBU Reason Code” portion that identifies why the patient received the FFBU procedure. These reason codes are preferably related to the FFBU qualifying criteria described *supra*. By way of example, the “A3” could stand for a geographic indicator (the patient lives in Marin County, for example) and the “C8” could stand for  
30    “very dense breasts.”



Text section 614 further comprises FFBU-session specific information 618 which can comprise, for example, the compression plane (PLANE=LCC), the associated gantry angle (ANGLE=0 deg), the compression plate distance and force used on the breast (COMPR=42mm 8 LBS), the thick-slice thickness corresponding to the slab thicknesses (TST=7mm), an ultrasonic power metric (P=79%, expressed relative to maximum FDA allowable power, and which can alternatively be expressed in absolute units such as  $\text{mW/cm}^2$ ), and a mechanical index metric (MI=0.9) indicating a relative potential for mechanical effects and based primarily on the phenomenon of cavitation and considering the biological effects associated with the collapse/implosion of microbubbles.

Text section 614 further comprises a breast composition section 620 that can be automatically supplied (from previously obtained database information) or entered/modified by the FFBU scanning technician or by the viewer/radiologist. The viewer is identified in section 626. Text section 614 further comprises an x-ray finding section 622 and x-ray assessment section 628 corresponding to the determinations at step 502, *supra*, and an FFBU finding section 624 and x-ray+FFBU assessment section 630 corresponding to additional and/or modified determinations made at step 506, *supra*. Parts of the FFBU finding section 624 can be completed automatically, for example, the relevant thick-slice (TS=4), location (X=34MM Z=18MM), and volume (VOLUME=0.78CC) corresponding to the finding.

The particular example of FIG. 6 portrays one simplified situation in which there is a cost-saving outcome that is made possible using adjunctive FFBU procedures. In particular, the independent x-ray assessment identified a density with a possibly indistinct border but that was at least partially obscured. The finding was troublesome enough for an ACR Category 4 assessment that might call for an invasive follow-up procedure, such as a fine-needle aspiration (FNA) biopsy, but it would be really useful if more information were quickly available. Advantageously, upon viewing the FFBU thick-slice images, it was readily determined that the lesion was probably a calcified fibroadenoma and could be reassessed to an ACR Category 3. In this case, the invasive and more expensive FNA procedure is avoided, and the patient is simply scheduled for a shorter term (*e.g.*, 3 month) follow-up imaging session to ensure that the size of the probable calcified fibroadenoma is not increasing with time.

According to a preferred embodiment, the thick-slice images 602 correspond to the body of thick-slice images normally presented to the radiologist on the display of the viewing workstation 104. Preferably, the slab-like subvolumes associated with the thick-slice images have an average thickness corresponding to a lesion size to be detected

5 according to the FFBU imaging modality. At an upper end, a larger thickness of 20 mm, for example, may be used if it is desirable to overlook most of the small breast details and direct the user's attention to larger features on the order 10 mm in size. At a lower end, where very high ultrasound resolutions are both desired and available, a smaller thickness of 2 mm, for example, may be used if it is desirable to view small features on the order of  
10 1.3 mm in size. Although a wide range of different thicknesses are within the scope of the preferred embodiments and useful for different purposes, thicknesses in the range of 7 mm – 12 mm are likely to be well-suited for most screening and archiving purposes.

FIG. 7 illustrates an FFBU archival dataset 700 according to a preferred embodiment that is similar to that of FIG. 6 except that a full-size thick-slice image 702  
15 corresponding to the finding is archived. In one preferred embodiment, only those particular thick-slice images containing findings are archived and the remaining thick-slice images are actively or passively discarded. In another preferred embodiment, the archival dataset comprises multiple sheets/records containing the contents of both FIGS. 6 and 7, with additional sheets/records corresponding to FIG. 7 being included for each  
20 separate lesion finding. In still another preferred embodiment, in which there are no lesion findings at all, the only image in the archival dataset is a single thick-slice image corresponding to a most benign-looking thick-slice image.

FIG. 8 illustrates an FFBU archival dataset 800 according to a preferred embodiment comprising a first set 802 of thick-slice images corresponding to the RCC  
25 view, a second set 804 of thick-slice images corresponding to the LCC view, and a text section 806 similar to the text section 614. The archival dataset 800 is particularly applicable in situations where the FFBU qualifying criteria were met and the interest-heightening criteria were not met. In such case, FFBU scanning is only scheduled for a single compression plane such as the CC plane for each breast. If the x-ray mammogram  
30 and FFBU data both look good to the viewer (*e.g.*, Category 1), the archival dataset 800

is formed and archived. If one or more findings are present, additional sheets/records corresponding to FIGS. 6 or 7 are included in the archive dataset.

FIG. 9 illustrates an FFBU archival dataset 900 according to a preferred embodiment comprising a first sheet/record 902 having CC and MLO thick-slice image datasets 906 and 908, respectively, for the left breast and a second sheet/record 904 having CC and MLO thick-slice image datasets 912 and 914, respectively, for the right breast. Text sections 910 and 916 are also included similar to text sections 806/614 *supra*. The archival dataset 900 is particularly applicable in situations where both the FFBU qualifying criteria and the interest-heightening criteria were met. In such case, more extensive FFBU scanning is scheduled having at least one additional compression plane, such as an MLO plane, for each breast. If the x-ray mammogram and FFBU data both look good to the viewer (*e.g.*, Category 1), the archival dataset 900 is formed and archived. If one or more findings are present, additional sheets/records corresponding to FIGS. 6 or 7 are included in the archive dataset.

Notably, the preferred embodiments of FIGS. 8 and 9 are particularly advantageous in the event of a possible, but not yet actuated, change in government regulations and/or standard clinical procedures in which younger women, under the age of 40 for example, are to be regularly screened for breast abnormalities. In such a case, it would be desirable not to use x-ray mammography at all as part of the screening process because of the x-ray dosages involved which are cumulative over the patient's entire lifetime. Rather, only a non-x-ray based full-field modality, such as FFBU screening or MRI screening, is mandated. In such cases, the presentation of the thick-slice image data to radiologists and archiving of the radiologist-viewed thick-slice images according to the archive datasets of FIGS. 8 and 9 would be particularly useful.

FIG. 10 illustrates a display of an adjunctive FFBU display 1000 according to a preferred embodiment that can be integrated into the viewing workstation 104, *supra*. A CRT monitor 1004 and an LCD monitor 1002 are packaged in a plastic frame case 1006 which provides support and electrical safety protection. The FFBU display 1000 can be mounted on a swivable arm so as to be movable close to displayed X-ray film and/or softcopy workstation displays. It can also be mounted on a film viewer table as shown in FIG. 1, which is a preferred configuration because radiologists do not have to swing their

heads left to right to review X-Ray film and FFBU images. The LCD monitor 1002 displays thick-slice images 1006, planar images 1008, and relevant text data 1012 as needed. One or both of the planar images 1008 and 1010 can be shown at full-scale. The CRT display 1004 also displays planar ultrasound views 1014 and 1016. The planar  
5 ultrasound views correspond to a cursor location 1018 on the thick-slice images.

In general, each display device has its own display property. Gamma correction for each monitor is required when image data from the same source output to different display device. Since current invention uses two or more monitors, separate gamma corrections are required. Commercially available graphic display cards provide two or  
10 more video outputs and Gamma corrections for each video output. This is an economic way to implement. Otherwise, two or more separate graphic display cards can be used, which provide separate Gamma corrections.

According to a preferred embodiment, the FFBU display 1000 facilitates an image enlargement procedure. When the viewer enables a zoom function, a virtual ROI 1020  
15 box is established according to a default setting (*e.g.*, size, location). The viewer can use a computer trackball or mouse to resize or move the location of virtual ROI box 1020. The ultrasound image data is re-scan-converted and displayed based on the virtual ROI box location, dimensions, and/or other specifications. A zoomed version 1022 of the virtual ROI 1020 is displayed on CRT monitor 1004.

Whereas many alterations and modifications of the present invention will no  
20 doubt become apparent to a person of ordinary skill in the art after having read the foregoing description, it is to be understood that the particular embodiments shown and described by way of illustration are in no way intended to be considered limiting. By way of example, while described above primarily in terms of using several thick-slice  
25 images in the archival dataset to represent the clinically relevant breast volume for a particular view, in an alternative preferred embodiment there can be a single overall thick-slice image used to represent the ultrasound data volume. Preferably, this single overall thick-slice image is "intelligently" computed from the three-dimensional dataset in a manner that highlights lesions that may be contained in the breast using, for example,  
30 one or more of the computational methods described in U.S. Ser. No. 10/305,661 and U.S. Ser. No. 60/439,437, *supra*. Therefore, references to the details of the preferred

embodiments are not intended to limit their scope, which is limited only by the scope of the claims set forth below.